

ISAACS FRIEDBERG & LABATON LLP

Mark Labaton (Bar No. 159555)

mlabaton@iflcounsel.com

555 South Flower Street, Suite 4250

Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: (213) 929-5550

Facsimile: (213) 955-5794

MOTLEY RICE LLC

Gregg S. Levin (*pro hac vice*)

glevin@motleyrice.com

28 Bridgeside Boulevard

Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464

Telephone: (843) 216-9000

Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP

Jonathan Gardner (*pro hac vice*)

jgardner@labaton.com

140 Broadway

New York, New York 10005

Telephone: (212) 907-0700

Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

*Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Institutional Investor Group  
and Co-Lead Counsel for the Settlement Class*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD  
COMPANY SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

) Case No. SACV 11-1404 AG (RNBx)  
)  
) **ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF**  
) **ALLOCATION**

)  
)  
) Judge: Hon. Andrew J. Guilford  
) Dept.: Courtroom 10D  
) Hearing Date: September 15, 2014  
) Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

1           THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the motion of Lead  
2 Plaintiffs Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Union Asset Management  
3 Holding AG, Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada, LIUNA  
4 National (Industrial) Pension Fund, and LIUNA Staff & Affiliates Pension Fund,  
5 for final approval of the proposed class action Settlement and approval of the Plan  
6 of Allocation; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had  
7 herein and otherwise being fully informed;

8           IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

9           1. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of  
10 Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate  
11 notice was directed to persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members,  
12 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a  
13 full and fair opportunity was accorded to persons and entities who are Settlement  
14 Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

15           2. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula in the Plan of  
16 Allocation for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set  
17 forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Class Action  
18 Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (the "Notice")  
19 disseminated to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis  
20 upon which to allocate the net settlement proceeds among Settlement Class  
21 Members. The purported objection to the Plan of Allocation is hereby overruled.

22           3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set  
23 forth in the Notice is, in all respects, fair and reasonable and the Court hereby  
24 approves the Plan of Allocation.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2  
3 Dated: September 15, 2014



4 \_\_\_\_\_  
5 ANDREW J. GUILFORD  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28